
JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT FOR A/E SELECTION

**Department of Administrative Services – Division of Archives
New Archives Building and Rio Grande Depot Remodel – A/E Selection
DFCM Project No. 98188300**

The Archives selection committee was very impressed with each of the design firms and expresses its appreciation to them for their efforts and interest in the project. From the firms that submitted, the selection committee resolved to rank Cooper Roberts Simonsen Architects (CRSA) as the firm that provides the best value to the State of Utah for this project.

CRSA was ranked highest for the following reasons:

- CRSA demonstrated the best total grasp of the project in their written presentation and in interviews;
- CRSA provided the best insight to storage system relative to building design;
- CRSA demonstrated their qualifications as a proven facilitator; and
- CRSA demonstrated an understanding that the primary thrust of this project was an archives facility.

Final Ranking

1. O
2. T
3. D
4. P
5. E

VBS Selection Final Scoring Matrix

Department of Administrative Services - Division of Archives
New Archives Building and Rio Grande Depot Remodel - A/E Short Listing
DFCM Project No. 98188300

22 April 2003

Selection Criteria	D					E					P				
Past Performance Rating	4	4.7	4.4	4.5	4.5	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4	4.4	4	4.7	3.5
Strength of Team	4	4	4.9	4.5	4.5	3.5	2	4.5	4.5	4	4	4	4.2	4.5	4
Project Management Approach	4	4	4.8	4	5	3.5	2	4	4	3.5	4	4	4	5	4
Schedule	4	4	4.7	5	5	3.5	2	4	5	4	3.5	5	4	5	4
Experience in working with CM delivery processes	4	4	5	5	4.5	4	2	4.5	5	4	3.5	5	4	5	4
Experience and staffing to deal with aggressive schedules	3.5	4	4.8	4.5	4	3.5	2	4	4.5	4	3.5	4	4	4.8	4
Experiencing in meeting tight budgets including VE alternatives	4	5	5	5	4.5	3.5	3	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4
Experience and/or ability to deal with the adjoining historic building	4	5	4.9	4	4.5	4	4	4.3	4	3.5	3.5	3	4.3	4	4
Ability to communicate clearly and consisely with multiple stakeholders	4	5	4.8	4	5	4	2	4.5	4.5	4	4	3	4	4.5	4

Selection Criteria	O					T				
Past Performance Rating	4	4.5	4.5	5	4.5	4	4.85	4.5	4.8	4.5
Strength of Team	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	4.8	5	4
Project Management Approach	5	5	4.9	5	5	4	3	4.8	4.5	4.5
Schedule	5	5	5	5	5	4.5	3	4.8	5	4.5
Experience in working with CM delivery processes	5	5	5	5	4.5	5	3	5	5	4
Experience and staffing to deal with aggressive schedules	5	5	5	5	4.5	4.5	4	4.8	5	4
Experiencing in meeting tight budgets including VE alternatives	5	5	5	5	4.5	4	4	5	5	4.5
Experience and/or ability to deal with the adjoining historic building	5	5	4.9	4	5	4	4	4.8	4	4.5
Ability to communicate clearly and consisely with multiple stakeholders	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4.5	4